A functional spatial autoregressive model using signatures

Camille Frévent^{*,1}

¹Univ. Lille, CHU Lille, ULR 2694 - METRICS: Évaluation des technologies de santé et des pratiques médicales, F-59000 Lille, France.

We are interested here in modelling the relationship between a real-valued random variable Y and a functional covariate $\{X(t), t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ observed in N spatial locations. A traditional approach is to assume that X belongs to $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{T})$, the space of square-integrable functions on \mathcal{T} , and to consider the following model:

$$Y_{i} = \rho^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{N} v_{ij,N} Y_{j} + \int_{\mathcal{T}} X_{i}(t) \theta^{*}(t) \, \mathrm{d}t + \varepsilon_{i}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N, \ N = 1, 2, \dots$$

where the spatial dependency structure between the spatial units is described by the spatial weights matrix $V_N = (v_{ij,N})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$, the autoregressive parameter ρ^* is in a compact space \mathcal{R} and $\theta^* \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathcal{T})$.

Fermanian (2022) recently investigated the use of signatures in the context of a non-spatial linear regression model with functional covariates. They present the advantages of being applicable to a wide range of processes that are not necessary square-integrable processes.

Concept of signatures Let \mathcal{T} be a compact interval and $X : \mathcal{T} \to \mathbb{R}^p$ be a p-dimensional continuous function, $p \geq 2$. Let $(e_i)_{i=1}^p$ be the canonical orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^p . Then the signature of X can be written as

$$Sig(X) = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(i_1,\dots,i_d)} \mathcal{S}_{(i_1,\dots,i_d)}(X) e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_d}. \text{ where } \mathcal{S}_{(i_1,\dots,i_d)}(X) = \int_{\substack{t_1 < \dots < t_d \\ t_1,\dots,t_d \in \mathcal{T}}} dX^{(i_1)(t_1)} \dots dX^{(i_d)(t_d)}.$$

Model We consider the following signatures-based spatial autoregressive model:

$$Y_i = \rho^* \sum_{j=1}^N v_{ij,N} Y_j + \alpha^* + \langle \theta^*, Sig(X_i) \rangle + \varepsilon_i$$
(1)

where the parameter θ^* is assumed to be written as $\theta^* = 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)} \beta^*_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)} e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_d}$. The disturbances ε_i are assumed to be independent and identically distributed as $\theta^*_{i_1,\ldots,i_d} = 1$.

The disturbances ε_i are assumed to be independent and identically distributed random variables such that $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i) = 0$, $\mathbb{E}(\varepsilon_i^2) = \sigma^{2*}$. They are also independent of X.

Then, one can rewrite (1) as

$$Y_{i} = \rho^{*} \sum_{j=1}^{N} v_{ij,N} Y_{j} + \alpha^{*} + 1 + \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{(i_{1},\dots,i_{d})} \beta^{*}_{(i_{1},\dots,i_{d})} \mathcal{S}_{(i_{1},\dots,i_{d})}(X_{i}) + \varepsilon_{i}$$
(2)

However, this model cannot be maximized without addressing the difficulty produced by the infinite dimension of the signatures $Sig(X_i)$ (and thus the infinite number of coefficients $\beta^*_{(i_1,\ldots,i_d)}$).

Thus we proposed two estimation methods that overcome this challenge, respectively based on a penalized spatial regression and a PCA.

References

Fermanian, A. (2022). Functional linear regression with truncated signatures. Journal of Multivariate Analysis 192.

^{*}Corresponding author: camille.frevent@univ-lille.fr